

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/549,685	Applicant(s) CAROFF ET AL.
Examiner SARAH PIHONAK		Art Unit 1627	

All Participants:

(1) SARAH PIHONAK.

(2) JEFF VOCKRODT.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

ALL

Claims discussed:

11-16 & 22-33

Prior art documents discussed:

ALL

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

SEE CONTINUATION

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/S. P./

Examiner, Art Unit 1627

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Pending claims 11-16 & 22-33 were discussed. Amendments to claims 11, 12, and 24 were discussed; in response, Atty. Vockrodt filed an amended claim set on 12/17/2009 for consideration.